

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,629 12/13/		12/13/2004	Gunther Schoffner	449122078500	5679
25227	7590	10/12/2006		EXAMINER	
	SON & FO	ERSTER LLP	NGUYEN, CHAU N		
SUITE 30		LEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN	N, VA 221	02	2831		
				DATE MAILED: 10/12/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/517,629	SCHOFFNER, GUNTHER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chau N. Nguyen	2831		

	Chau N. Nguyen	2031					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is lat no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIT							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		•					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, ,,	•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Charl	On war				
		Chau N Nguyen , Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2831					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No. 10/517,629

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Although claim 11 is amended to incorporate allowable claim 13, NOT all allowed subject matter in claim 13 were incorporated into claim 11. Specifically, the feature of "a truncated cone supported against the supporting wall" is not included in claim 11. Accordingly, currently amended claim 11 raises new issue that requires further consideration.